

X
NYC 39 7 FEB 63
TO: POOLE COPY FISCHER SCHUCKMANN
FROM: MYERS COPY KAUFMANN AUGUSTINE
12145 PM

REUR MUN 27 6 FEB

AS WE ADVISED IN NYC 86 19 JAN 63 CHCIUK-CELT EXPENSE ACCOUNT AFFAIR IS NOT WITHIN JURISDICTION PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT. HAVE REFERRED YOUR MESSAGE TO KAUFMANN AND AUGUSTINE FOR SETTLEMENT. OUR HANDLING OF RE-ENTRY PERMIT PERSONNEL IS STRICTLY LIMITED TO PROCUREMENT OF PERMIT, NECESSARY VISAS AND TRANSPORTATION BOOKINGS IF REQUIRED. IN PRACTICE WE SEE THE TRAVELER NOT MORE THAN TWICE AND ALL OTHER DETAILS RESPECTING HIS VISIT, SPECIFICALLY INCLUDING EXPENSE ACCOUNT MATTERS, ARE HANDLED BY RFE DESK ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL DIRECTLY. DESK OFFICERS PROCURE KAUFMANN'S SIGNATURE ON EXPENSE ACCOUNT AND LITERALLY TAKE TRAVELER BY THE HAND TO ACCOUNTING DEPARTMENT FOR COLLECTION OF ACCOUNT. OBVIOUSLY IN THE ABSENCE OF KNOWLEDGE HERE THAT YOU HAD MADE AN AGREEMENT VARYING WITH POLICY CITED IN BROWN MEMORANDUM TO SHINN, NO OTHER DISPOSITION OF CASE WAS POSSIBLE WHEN YOU FIRST RAISED THE QUESTION. PARA

ON SUBSTANTIVE SIDE OF YOUR MESSAGE, WE CERTAINLY WILL APPRECIATE RECEIPT OF COPY OF COMPANY AGREEMENT MENTIONED THEREIN SINCE SAME IS CLEARLY AN ADJUNCT TO THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING. WE SHOULD HAVE A COMPLETE
(MORE)

PAGE 2 NYC 39

WORKING FILE OF ALL LOCAL AGREEMENTS PARTICULARLY THOSE WHICH ARE, IN EFFECT, AMENDMENTS OR ADDENDA TO THE MEMORANDUM. PLEASE FORWARD COPY OR COPIES SOONEST. PARA

THE CHCIUK-CELT EXPENSE ACCOUNT, COPY OF WHICH WE SENT YOU, CLEARLY INDICATES THAT TRAVELER CAREFULLY PREPARED SAME TO ARRIVE AT EXACT TOTAL OF \$150 INDICATING IMPRESSION ON HIS PART AND LOCAL DESK ADMINISTRATOR THAT THAT AMOUNT WAS THE MAXIMUM HE COULD CLAIM, OR IF HIS FIGURES ARE TO BE BELIEVED, THE ACTUAL AMOUNT HE SPENT. IT SEEMS RIDICULOUS TO PAY THIS MAN AN EXTRA \$37.50 WHICH HE DOES NOT CLAIM TO HAVE SPENT AND ABOUT WHICH HE MADE NO PROTEST WHEN EXECUTING THE EXPENSE ACCOUNT HERE. IT WOULD APPEAR THAT SOMETHING LIKE THE \$30 LIMIT SO LONG IN EFFECT COVERS NORMAL EXPENSE OF THIS TYPE OF TRAVEL AND THAT YOUR 1961 AGREEMENT MIGHT WELL BE RENEGOTIATED TO PREVENT WINDFALLS LIKE THIS. IT IS WORTH NOTING THAT THE AGREED ITEM OF \$5.00 PER DEPENDENT CHILD NOT INFREQUENTLY COVERS INFANTS OR VERY YOUNG CHILDREN FOR WHOM NO CHARGE IS MADE IN LOCAL HOTELS. IT ALSO MAY BE WORTH CONSIDERING THAT
(MORE)

PAGE 3 NYC 39

MANY OF YOUR REENTRY PERMIT TRAVELERS STAY WITH FRIENDS WHILE HERE AND, IF YOU CAN RE-NEGOTIATE, POSSIBLY THE AGREEMENT MIGHT WELL PROVIDE FOR PROOF OF ACTUAL EXPENDITURE RATHER THAN MERE AGREED ENTITLEMENT TO PER DIEM.
END MSG