

NYC 12 9 JUL 71
TO: WALTER INFO KUN
FM: PETZALL INFO KAUFMANN REYMAN

09/1200

RE YOUR RFEA 7 OF JULY 9, 1971, EXPLAINING THE PROBLEMS CONTAINED IN THE ENDRST PIECE ON CHINA AND THE UN, HAVE INFORMED ALL DESKS HERE AND ITEM WAS DROPPED FROM THE PROGRAMS THIS MORNING. HAVE ALSO DISCUSSED THE POINTS BROUGHT OUT IN YOUR MESSAGE WITH ENDRST WHO PROVIDES THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION AND EXPLANATIONS:

REGARDING THE LATIN NUCLEAR FREE ZONE TREATY, CHINA OR ANY OTHER COUNTRY HAS SEVERAL OPTIONS OF SUBSCRIBING TO IT. THE NORMAL WAY OF COURSE IS TO SIGN AND RATIFY THE TREATY. AFTER A STIPULATED DEADLINE FOR JOINING SUCH A GROUP OF NATIONS HAS EXPIRED, LATE-COMERS, WHICH COULD BE CHINA, CAN STILL "ACCEDE" TO IT. BUT COUNTRIES CAN ALSO EXPRESS SUPPORT OF THE PRINCIPLES AND PURPOSES OF A TREATY BY THEIR "ADHESION" OR "ACCEPTANCE." THESE TWO LATTER FORMS ARE USUALLY MADE THROUGH A PUBLIC PLEDGE OR PROCLAMATION. IT IS USUALLY USED BY THOSE COUNTRIES WHICH DO NOT LIKE TO ASSUME THE PRECISE OBLIGATIONS OF A TREATY. BY ADHERING TO IT, OR ACCEPTING IT THROUGH A GOVERNMENT DECLARATION, THEY CAN BETTER ENTER VARIOUS AMENDMENTS OR RESERVATIONS.

IT IS DIFFICULT TO FORESEE WHAT PEKING MAY DO IN THIS RESPECT, AND IT DID NOT SEEM POLITICALLY RELEVANT IN TERMS OF THE ARTICLE, ANYWAY.

YOU ARE RIGHT, OF COURSE, CONCERNING THE FIVE-POWER NUCLEAR CONFERENCE WHICH IS A SOVIET RATHER THAN FRANCE'S REVIVAL OF AN OLD DE GAULLE, AND LATELY ALSO U THANT, IDEA. SORRY.

REGARDING THE VARIETY OF SOURCES USED IN THE ITEM, THIS WAS INTENTIONAL AND IN LINE WITH PREVIOUS INSTRUCTIONS THAT RUMANIAN OFFICIALS SHOULD NOT BE PLACED IN POTENTIALLY EMBARRASSING SITUATIONS THROUGH RFE.
(MORE)

PAGE 2 NYC 12

ALTHOUGH THE BACKGROUND TO THE ARTICLE HAS BEEN GATHERED FROM MANY SOURCES OVER A PERIOD OF TIME, THE IMMEDIATE REASON FOR WAITING IT WAS A TWO-HOUR PRIVATE CHAT WITH A RUMANIAN DIPLOMAT WHO NOW SERVES AS A SENIOR POLITICAL OFFICER IN THE U.N. SECRETARIAT. AS SUCH, HE IS NOT SUPPOSED TO DISCUSS AREAS BEYOND HIS IMMEDIATE COMPETENCE (WHICH IN HIS CASE IS EUROPEAN SECURITY AFFAIRS).

IN THE PAST ON MUNICH'S INSTRUCTIONS WE HAVE REFRAINED FROM IDENTIFYING RUMANIAN SOURCES AS SUCH.

THE "ALBANIAN GROUP" CONSISTS OF "PRO-PEKING" COUNTRIES WHICH ARE NOT ALL COMMUNIST, THUS TERMS LIKE "PRO-PEKING OFFICIALS" AND "PRO-PEKING GROUP."

END MSG