

● SVS NY
RE MUN 118, 28 MAR, TO BROWN DUNNING FM HAZELHOFF, FIRST LINE
TEXT MAKE READ RE NYC 105 OF 26 MAR.
SVS MUN

MUN118 28 MAR 60
TO BROWN DUNNING
FM HAZELHOFF

1757 P

1) RE NYC OF 26 MAR FULLY REALIZE WE MUST PROCEED WITH CIRCUMSPECTION BUT WONDER IF WE AREN'T BEING OVERLY CAUTIOUS IN THIS INSTANCE. WOULD POINT OUT THAT THIS IS FIRST TIME IN MORE THAN SIX MONTHS THAT DESK HAS REQUESTED SUCH A SCRIPT. DON'T KNOW OF BETTER EVIDENCE THAN THIS OF NOWAK'S OWN DISCRETION AND HIS DETERMINATION NOT TO ABUSE PROVISIONS OF NEW POLICY PAPER. QUESTION RISES THAT IF SOMETHING AS SIGNIFICANT AS THE CONGRESS MEMORANDUM PLUS THE HERTER-ROZMAREK DISCUSSION IS NOT SUFFICIENTLY LEGITIMATE BASIS FOR COMMENT THEN ONE WONDERS WHAT COULD POSSIBLY COME ALONG THAT WOULD BE CONSIDERED ADEQUATE JUSTIFICATION. (NOWAK UNDERSTANDABLY INTERPRETS YOUR NEGATIVE ANSWER ON THIS DEVELOPMENT AS TACIT NOTICE THAT RFE MICROPHONES ARE NOW AS GOOD AS DEAD TO POLISH LEADERS IN WEST. THIS WAS NOT HIS OR OUR UNDERSTANDING WHEN THE COUNTRY PAPER WAS DRAWN UP AND, BELIEVE ME, HE IS TAKING MATTER VERY HARD INDEED. FEEL WE SHOULD DO ALL POSSIBLE TO DISPEL THIS NOTION.)

2) WE HAD CONCEIVED THE PROJECTED ROZMAREK STATEMENT AS OF THE "BROADER TYPE" TO WHICH YOUR MESSAGE REFERS AND WE FEEL THAT WAGNER AND NOWAK COULD ELICIT FROM ROZMAREK A BALANCED AND ACCEPTABLE STATEMENT.

3) THERE WAS NOTHING FURTIVE ABOUT HERTER MEETING AND CAN SEE NO OBJECTION TO REFERENCE TO IT SINCE IT MATTER OF PUBLIC RECORD. WE AGREE THAT ROZMAREK SHOULD NOT ATTEMPT TO MAKE CAPITAL OUT OF PRIVATE CONFIDENCES OF HERTER BUT BELIEVE IT UNLIKELY ROZMAREK WOULD BE THIS IRRESPONSIBLE.

4) POINT IS WE SINCERELY HOPE YOU WILL NOT UNILATERALLY KILL THIS RARE REQUEST WITHOUT EVEN A TRY. ASIDE FROM FACT THAT IT SEEMS LEGITIMATE AND JUSTIFIED, THERE IS MORE AT STAKE HERE IN THE MORALE DEPARTMENT THAN MAY HAVE OCCURED TO YOU.

END MSG